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## Product Liability

### Effective Coordination Of Multiple Product Liability Litigation
Ronald Lawrence, Fredric C. Nelson, And Richard A. Rothman
Practising Law Institute
**KF 8925 .P7 E37 1988**

### Prevention And Defense Of Manufacturers’ Product Liability
Kenneth Ross
Practising Law Institute
**KF 8925 .P7 P73**

### Products Liability: Practical Defense Problems
The Defense Research Institute
**KF 8925 .P7 P88 1972**

### Products Liability: Practical Defense Problems II
The Defense Research Institute
**KF 8925 .P7 P88 1976**

### Products Liability Litigation 1983
Edward L. Birnbaum, Leonard Decof, And Malcolm E. Wheeler
Practising Law Institute
**KF 8925 .P7 P755 1984**

### Special Problems In Product Liability Litigation
Richard J. Phelan
Practising Law Institute
**KF 8925 .P7 S6**

### Hazardous Products Litigation – Second Edition
Edward M. Swartz
**KF 8925 .P7 S9 1988**

### Ohio Law Reviews And Journals on Product Liability

**“Carrel v. Allied Products Corporation: Is The Ohio Supreme Court Having The Last Word On Product Liability?”**
32 U. Tol. L. Rev. 591 (Summer, 2001)

**“The Impact Of Technological Change In The Canada/U.S. Context: Product Liability Aspects Of The Risks Of Technological Change – A U.S. Perspective”**

**“Halliday v. Sturm, Ruger & Co.: The Gun Exception To Strict Product Liability”**
34 U. Tol. L. Rev. 373 (Winter, 2003)

**“Distinguishing The Concept Of Strict Liability For Ultra-Hazardous Activities From Strict Products Liability Under Section 402A Of The Restatement (Second) Of Torts: Two Parallel Lines Of Reasoning That Should Never Meet”**
35 Akron L. Rev. 31 (2001)

**“Avoiding A Civil Action: Mandatory Summary Jury Trial In The Settlement Of Products Liability Design Defect Cases Light Of The Restatement (Third) Of Torts”**

**“The Re-Emergence Of ‘Super Strict’ Liability: Slaying The Dragon Again”**
71 U. Cin. L. Rev. 917 (Spring, 2003)

**“See No Evil, Hear No Evil: When Clear And Adequate Warnings Do Not Prevent The Imposition Of Product Liability”**
68 U. Cin. L. Rev. 47 (Fall, 1999)

**“Public Nuisance As A Mass Products Liability Tort”**
71 U. Cin. L. Rev. 741 (Spring, 2003)