Project Narrative

1. What worked well in the project during the first year? What positive effects have emerged?

2. What did not work well in the project in the first year? What unintended negative effects or consequences have emerged?

3. Please describe any changes in the project’s common agenda, structure, roles, shared activities, shared data, and communication expected within the project in year 2.
4. Please describe how client voice(s) were utilized to shape the project structure and carry out the shared activities within the project?

5. Please provide a detailed description of how the project will utilize the client voice(s) with continuous engagement in year 2 and beyond.

6. What difference has this Collective Impact Project made for clients, their families, and the community?
Program Narrative

1. What worked well when delivering your program in year 1? What did not work well and why?

2. What changes if any, will occur with delivering your program in year 2? What evidence based research exists to support these changes?

3. What issues of equity exist for your client base and what activities are you currently doing to address these disparities? What disparities require further collaboration and/or system change? (examples of responses – Food deserts, ESL students who do not have IEP’s, and low employment opportunities due to felonies.)
4. What are ongoing needs that continue to be barriers to your clients’ success that your clients, program, and program collaborators have been unsuccessful in removing/eliminating? (Do not repeat disparities listed in question 3)

5. What difference has your funded program made for the clients, their families, and the community?
Outcome Variance Narrative

1. Please enter the total number of clients served from July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2018.

2. Please explain any differences that occurred between the proposed or revised target and actual year end achievement number for each indicator. Discuss your interpretation of this data (don't forget to ask your staff, volunteers and participants for their input) and explain why you believe these differences occurred. Any differences positive or negative should be included in the variance explanation for each indicators. This question should be answered for each indicator regardless if the variance was positive or negative for data collected from July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2018.
3. Please explain any differences that occurred between the proposed/revised Activity and corresponding Output and the actual mid year performance on each Output. Discuss your interpretation of this data (don't forget to ask your staff, volunteers and participants for their input) and explain why you believe these differences occurred. Any difference positive or negative should be included with each output explanation. This question should be answered for each activity and corresponding Output regardless if the variance was positive or negative.

4. What barriers continue to exists for the clients who were not successful in achieving the indicators in your evaluation system?
5. What barriers continue to exist for the clients who were not successful in achieving the indicators in your evaluation system?

6. What lessons learned have been realized from analyzing the results of the Indicator Measurements gathered between July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2018? What program improvements, service delivery, measurement/data, or operational changes have been or will be made as a result of these lessons learned?
Year End Report ALL DATA
July 1, 2017-June 30, 2018

• Agency Actual Budget
• Total Program Actual Budget
• Total Program Budget Narrative
• County Expenditure Budget
• County Expenditure Budget Narrative
• Project Narrative – *Lead Agency responses with signatures from each funded Collaborating program. (GSS for projects only)*
• Program Narrative – *All programs funded by UW and County in any funding category*
• Outcome, Indicators, and Measurements Actual
• Activates, Outputs, and Output Numbers Actual
• Outcome Evaluation Variances
• Demographic Category Actuals