CoC Threshold Requirements
2022

CoC Threshold Requirements

Application is complete and data are consistent

Coordinated Entry Participation

Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation

Documented, secured minimum match

Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit

Project is financially feasible

Applicant is active CoC participant

Data quality at or above 90% in HMIS or DV comparable database

Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

Documented organizational financial stability

The target for Occupancy is based on project size: 80% for projects with fewer than 15 units; 85% for projects with 15-29 units; 90% for projects with 30-50 units; 95% for projects with more than 50 units.	Half points for Occupancy are given for meeting at least 90% of the performance target
80% occupancy target	72-79% = half points
85% occupancy target	77-84% = half points
90% occupancy target	81-89% = half points
95% occupancy target	86-94% = half points

half points are given for achieving a minimum of 70% of the outcome performance targets

HMIS SCORE SHEET

	Partial Points	Maximum Points
GRANTS MANAGEMENT		
Grantee Reporting (APR submitted on time)	No = 0 points	Yes = 3 points
Grantee Management of Subrecipients (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
HUD Monitoring Findings (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
Grant Spending (% unspent)	20%+ = 0 points	less than 20% = 3 pts
HMIS COVERAGE		
% of ES beds in HMIS	less than 85%=0 pts	85%+ = 3 points
% of TH beds in HMIS	less than 85%=0 pts	85%+ = 3 points
% of RRH beds in HMIS	less than 85%=0 pts	85%+ = 3 points
% of PSH beds in HMIS	less than 85%=0 pts	85%+ = 3 points
HMIS REPORTING		
CoC submitted LSA data to HUD in HDX by the deadline	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
CoC submitted PIT data to HUD in HDXby the deadline	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
CoC submitted HIC data to HUD in HDX by the deadline	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
HMIS DATA QUALITY		
HMIS data quality for the system	70-89% = 5 points	90%+ = 10 points
STANDARDS		
HMIS Lead worked with CoC to develop basic technical, security, privacy, and data quality standards	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
There is a process in place to update the standards	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
HMIS has an Agency Agreement on use of HMIS with all agencies who enter data into HMIS	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
HMIS TRAINING		
HMIS Lead provides HMIS Software training for new users	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
HMIS Lead provides data quality training	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
HMIS Lead provides security training for all users annually	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
HMIS Lead provides privacy/ethics training for all users annually	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
HMIS Lead provides HMIS report training for HMIS Agency Administrators	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
HMIS Lead provides annual training on HUD Data Standards	no = 0 points	yes = 3 points
COMPARABLE DATABASE		
DV providers use a comparable database	no = 0 points	yes = 5 points
OTHER CRITERIA		
Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	No = 0 points	Yes = 10 points
	TOTAL POSSIBLE PTS	**79**

2022 YOUTH TH SCORE SHEET

	Partial Points	Maximum Points
GRANTS MANAGEMENT		
Grantee Reporting (APR submitted on time)	No = 0 points	Yes = 3 points
Grantee Management of Subrecipients (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
HUD Monitoring Findings (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
Grant Spending (% unspent)	20%+ = 0 points	less than 20% = 3 pts
RACIAL EQUITY		
Steps taken to improve racial equity at organization	3 points	6 points
Embedding equity in this project	3 points	6 points
Composition of executive team	33-50% = 3 points	51%+ = 6 points
PARTICIPANT INVOLVEMENT		
Authentic collaboration with people with lived experience	3 points	6 points
HOUSING STRATEGIES		
Steps taken to quickly connect participants to permanent housing	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Steps taken to help participants remain stably housed	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Steps taken to connect participants to mainstream benefits	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Process & timeline for adminstering rental/leasing assistance	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
PERFORMANCE		
Average number of days in project	255-330 days = 3 pts	254 days or less=5 pts
Percent of HH exiting to permanent housing	52--73% = 12 points	74% + = 25 points
Percent of participants returning to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing	6-10% = 7 points	5% or less=15 pts
Percent of adult leavers with increased total cash income	29--41% = 5 points	42%+ = 10 points
Percent of adult leavers with increased employment income	20-27% = 5 points	28% + = 10 points
Percent of adult leavers with non-cash benefits	46-64% = 3 points	65%+ = 5 points
Occupancy	90% of target=3 pts	target + = 5 points
OTHER CRITERIA		
Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	No = 0 points	Yes = 10 points
	TOTAL POSSIBLE PTS	**127**

BONUS POINTS: SERVING HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Percent of adult participants with zero income at entry	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HoH with more than one disability	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HH entering project from unsheltered living situation	25-32% = 5 points	33% + = 10 points

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Severity of needs/vulnerabilities experienced by project participants

Unique gap/population

Level of negative impact to CoC if project were not funded

Availability of other potential funding sources

Number of households projected to be served and cost-efficiency

2022 SAFE HAVEN SCORE SHEET

	Partial Points	Maximum Points
GRANTS MANAGEMENT		
Grantee Reporting (APR submitted on time)	No = 0 points	Yes = 3 points
Grantee Management of Subrecipients (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
HUD Monitoring Findings (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
Grant Spending	20%+ = 0 points	less than 20% = 3 pts
RACIAL EQUITY		
Steps taken to improve racial equity at organization	3 points	6 points
Embedding equity in this project	3 points	6 points
Composition of executive team	33-50% = 3 points	51%+ = 6 points
PARTICIPANT INVOLVEMENT		
Authentic collaboration with people with lived experience	3 points	6 points
HOUSING STRATEGIES		
Steps taken to engage participants and connect them to permanent housing	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Steps taken to connect participants to mainstream benefits	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
PERFORMANCE		
Percent of HH exiting to permanent housing	50-69% = 12 points	70% + = 25 points
Percent of adult leavers with increased total cash income	25-34% = 5 points	35%+ = 10 points
Percent of adult leavers with non-cash benefits	37-52% = 3 points	53%+ = 5 points
Occupancy	90% of target=3 pts	target + = 5 points
OTHER CRITERIA		
Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	No = 0 points	Yes = 10 points
	TOTAL POSSIBLE PTS	**91**

BONUS POINTS: SERVING HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Percent of adult participants with zero income at entry	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HoH with more than one disability	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HH entering project from unsheltered living situation	25-32% = 5 points	33% + = 10 points

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Severity of needs/vulnerabilities experienced by project participants

Unique gap/population

Level of negative impact to CoC if project were not funded

Availability of other potential funding sources

Number of households projected to be served and cost-efficiency

2022 RRH SCORE SHEET

	Partial Points	Maximum Points
GRANTS MANAGEMENT		
Grantee Reporting (APR submitted on time)	No = 0 points	Yes = 3 points
Grantee Management of Subrecipients (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
HUD Monitoring Findings (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
Grant Spending	20%+ = 0 points	less than 20% = 3 pts
RACIAL EQUITY		
Steps taken to improve racial equity at organization	3 points	6 points
Embedding equity in this project	3 points	6 points
Composition of executive team	33-50% = 3 points	51%+ = 6 points
PARTICIPANT INVOLVEMENT		
Authentic collaboration with people with lived experience	3 points	6 points
HOUSING STRATEGIES		
Steps taken to quickly connect participants to permanent housing	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Steps taken to help participants remain stably housed	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Steps taken to connect participants to mainstream benefits	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Process & timeline for adminstering rental/leasing assistance	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
PERFORMANCE		
Percent of HH exiting to permanent housing	75--88% = 12 points	89% + = 25 points
Percent of participants returning to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing	8-10% = 7 points	7% or less=15 pts
Percent of adult leavers with increased total cash income	18-25% = 5 points	26%+ = 10 points
Percent of adult leavers with increased employment income	11-15% = 5 points	16% + = 10 points
Percent of adult leavers with non-cash benefits	29-41% = 3 points	42%+ = 5 points
Occupancy	90% of target=3 pts	target + = 5 points
OTHER CRITERIA		
Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	No = 0 points	Yes = 10 points
	TOTAL POSSIBLE PTS	**122**

BONUS POINTS: SERVING HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Percent of adult participants with zero income at entry	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HoH with more than one disability	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HH entering project from unsheltered living situation	25-32% = 5 points	33% + = 10 points

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Severity of needs/vulnerabilities experienced by project participants

Unique gap/population

Level of negative impact to CoC if project were not funded

Availability of other potential funding sources

Number of households projected to be served and cost-efficiency

2022 YOUTH RRH SCORE SHEET

	Partial Points	Maximum Points
GRANTS MANAGEMENT		
Grantee Reporting (APR submitted on time)	No = 0 points	Yes = 3 points
Grantee Management of Subrecipients (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
HUD Monitoring Findings (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
Grant Spending	20%+ = 0 points	less than 20% = 3 pts
RACIAL EQUITY		
Steps taken to improve racial equity at organization	3 points	6 points
Embedding equity in this project	3 points	6 points
Composition of executive team	33-50% = 3 points	51%+ = 6 points
PARTICIPANT INVOLVEMENT		
Authentic collaboration with people with lived experience	3 points	6 points
HOUSING STRATEGIES		
Steps taken to quickly connect participants to permanent housing	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Steps taken to help participants remain stably housed	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Steps taken to connect participants to mainstream benefits	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Process & timeline for adminstering rental/leasing assistance	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
PERFORMANCE		
Percent of HH exiting to permanent housing	57-66% = 12 points	67% + = 25 points
Percent of participants returning to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing	8-10% = 7 points	7% or less=15 pts
Percent of adult leavers with increased total cash income	21-30% = 5 points	31%+ = 10 points
Percent of adult leavers with increased employment income	16-22% = 5 points	23% + = 10 points
Percent of adult leavers with non-cash benefits	48-68% = 3 points	69%+ = 5 points
Occupancy	90% of target=3 pts	target + = 5 points
OTHER CRITERIA		
Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	No = 0 points	Yes = 10 points
	TOTAL POSSIBLE PTS	**122**

BONUS POINTS: SERVING HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Percent of adult participants with zero income at entry	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HoH with more than one disability	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HH entering project from unsheltered living situation	25-32% = 5 points	33% + = 10 points

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Severity of needs/vulnerabilities experienced by project participants

Unique gap/population

Level of negative impact to CoC if project were not funded

Availability of other potential funding sources

Number of households projected to be served and cost-efficiency

2022 PSH SCORE SHEET

	Partial Points	Maximum Points
GRANTS MANAGEMENT		
Grantee Reporting (APR submitted on time)	No = 0 points	Yes = 3 points
Grantee Management of Subrecipients (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
HUD Monitoring Findings (if applicable)	funding conditions	funding conditions
Grant Spending	20%+ = 0 points	less than 20% = 3 pts
RACIAL EQUITY		
Steps taken to improve racial equity at organization	3 points	6 points
Embedding equity in this project	3 points	6 points
Composition of executive team (BIPOC %)	33-50% = 3 points	51%+ = 6 points
PARTICIPANT INVOLVEMENT		
Authentic collaboration with people with lived experience	3 points	6 points
HOUSING STRATEGIES		
Steps taken to quickly connect participants to permanent housing	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Steps taken to help participants remain stably housed	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Steps taken to connect participants to mainstream benefits	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
Project's Move-On strategy	inadequate = 0	adequate = 3 points
PERFORMANCE		
Percent of HH remaining in PSH or exiting to permanent housing	81-94% = 12 points	95% + = 25 points
Percent of participants returning to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing	8-10% = 7 points	7% or less=15 pts
Percent of adult stayers with increased total cash income	38-54% = 5 points	55%+ = 10 points
Percent of adult stayers with non-cash benefits	53-75% = 3 points	76%+ = 5 points
Occupancy	90% of target=3 pts	target + = 5 points
OTHER CRITERIA		
Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	No = 0 points	Yes = 10 points
	TOTAL POSSIBLE PTS	**122**

BONUS POINTS: SERVING HIGH NEED POPULATIONS		
Percent of adult participants with zero income at entry	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HoH with more than one disability	51-65% = 5 points	66% + = 10 points
Percent of HH entering project from unsheltered living situation	25-32% = 5 points	33% + = 10 points

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Severity of needs/vulnerabilities experienced by project participants

Unique gap/population

Level of negative impact to CoC if project were not funded

Availability of other potential funding sources

Number of households projected to be served and cost-efficiency