2013 Budget

• $773.7 million calendar year appropriation.
• Additional appropriations for state and federal programs year-to-date is $35.2 million. Additional grants may be budgeted in December.
• Total county appropriation is $808.9 million, which is a decrease of $22.4 million or 2.7% below the 2012 Adopted Budget of $831.3 million.
• The total number of budgeted positions is 4,219, or 3.3% under 2012.
2013 Calendar Year Budget

$773.7 Million

- General Government: $102.4 million (13.2%)
- Social Services: $374.1 million (48.4%)
- Judicial/Law Enforcement: $150.3 million (19.4%)
- Debt Service: $16.2 million (2.1%)
- Comm. & Economic Development: $9.2 million (1.2%)
- Environ. & Public Works: $121.5 million (15.7%)
2013 Total County Budget

$808.9 Million
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• General Fund
  • General operating fund of the county.
  • Funds activities of most county elected officials.
  • Provides mandated services such as courts, jail, juvenile crime, elections and other general government functions.
  • $134.5 million, $5.1 million or 4.0% above 2012 Adopted Budget.
  • General Fund 16.6% of total county appropriation.
General Fund

• **Highlights - 2013 Budget**
  
  • Budgeted Salary line items were increased 2.5%.
  
  • Fringe Benefits budgeted based on actual employee costs, coupled with a 10.0% decrease in health insurance rates results in a savings of $1.8 million.
  
  • Position changes recommended only with new revenue or reallocations from current budgeted resources.
  
  • Total number of budgeted positions is 1,316.19.
  
  • Reinstated the Community Programs – ED/GE and Arts for $2.5 million.
  
  • Reinstated Replacement Capital and Building Depreciation Programs.
  
  • Sales tax increased $4.0 million or 6.3%.
  
  • Local Government Fund declined $2.1 million or 22.5%.
  
  • Casino revenues from State of Ohio up $2.5 million from 2012.
2012-2013 General Fund Reconciliation

General Fund

2012 Adopted Budget: $129.3 million
Base Budget Adjustments 3.7
Fringe Benefits Adjustments (1.9)
Salary Increase Parameter 1.5
Ongoing Items 0.4
Replacement Capital 1.5

2013 General Fund Budget: $134.5 million
General Fund Revenues

- **Sales Tax**: $68.0 (50.6%)
- **Property Tax**: $13.0 (9.7%)
- **Property Transfer Fee**: $2.2 (1.6%)
- **Intergov’t**: $9.7 (7.2%)
- **Other**: $9.4 (7.0%)
- **Investment Income**: $6.9 (5.1%)
- **Charges for Services**: $16.9 (12.6%)
- **Local Gov’t Fund**: $7.3 (5.4%)
- **Fines / Forfeitures**: $1.1 (0.8%)

Total: **$134.5 Million**
• Sales Tax collections recovered during 2012
• Issues impacting sales tax
  • Rebound of retail and vehicle sales.
  • Expansion of base to include managed care health plans.
  • Continued growth of internet sales.
  • Retail in surrounding counties.
Decline in Property Tax collections from 2008 to 2013 is $2.3 million or 14.7%.

Tangible Personal Property Tax phased out between 2006-2010.
General Fund Revenues

State Local Govt. Fund Receipts (Millions) 2008 - 2013

• State reduced LGF distributions to Montgomery County by 50% in the State 2012-2013 biennial budget.
• Decline in LGF revenues from 2008 to 2013 is $8.0 million or 52.3%.
• Decline in Investment Income revenues from 2008 to 2013 is $14.0 million or 67.1%.
• Types of investments are restricted by state law.
General Fund Revenues

Investment Income – Average Weighted Yield

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012 Est.</th>
<th>2013 Est.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yield (%)</td>
<td>6.46%</td>
<td>2.95%</td>
<td>2.30%</td>
<td>1.69%</td>
<td>1.60%</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General Fund Revenues

Charges for Services (Millions) 2008 - 2013

- Most rates in this category set by state law and are at the maximum.
- Includes various revenue collected by elected officials.
General Fund Revenues

Real Estate Based Fees (Millions) 2008 - 2013

- Includes Recorder Fees, Conveyance Fees and Property Transfer Fees
- The decline from 2008 actuals to 2013 is 10.1%.
- Property Transfer Fee was increased from $1 to $2 per thousand in August 2007.
General Fund Budget by Program

- General Government: $24.6 Million (18.3%)
- Judicial/Law Enforcement: $96.3 Million (71.6%)
- Social Services: $5.3 Million (3.9%)
- Debt Service: $2.5 Million (1.9%)
- Comm. & Economic Development: $5.1 Million (3.8%)
- Environ. & Public Works: $0.7 Million (0.5%)

Total: $134.5 Million
General Fund Budget by Category

- **Personal Services**
  - $85.1
  - 63.3%

- **Operating Expenses**
  - $11.7
  - 8.7%

- **Prof. Services**
  - $23.8
  - 17.7%

- **Operating Transfers**
  - $12.5
  - 9.3%

- **Capital & Debt**
  - $1.4
  - 1.0%

**Total:** $134.5 Million
## General Fund Revenues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description for Revenues</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012 Est.</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales Taxes</td>
<td>$58.9</td>
<td>$60.4</td>
<td>$65.6</td>
<td>$68.0</td>
<td>$68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Taxes</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate Based Fees</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Funds</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Intergovernmental</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casino Revenue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Income</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Services Levy</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Stabilization</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues *</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$151.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$143.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>$143.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>$136.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>$134.5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Change</strong></td>
<td>(4.9%)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>(4.9%)</td>
<td>(1.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other Revenues includes Licenses & Permits, Fines & Forfeitures, Miscellaneous Revenues and Other Financing Sources.*
## General Fund Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description for Expenses</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012 Est.</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>$72.3</td>
<td>$67.9</td>
<td>$64.9</td>
<td>$59.7</td>
<td>$62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27th Payroll</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating/Professional Services</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Assistance</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Subsidies</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Programs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CED Regional Initiatives</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Expenses *</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>$153.1</td>
<td>$145.6</td>
<td>$144.3</td>
<td>$136.6</td>
<td>$134.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent Change</strong></td>
<td>(4.9%)</td>
<td>(0.9%)</td>
<td>(5.4%)</td>
<td>(1.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Other Expenses includes Operating Transfers and Capital Outlays.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012 Est.</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unenc. Beginning Cash Balance</td>
<td>$28.6</td>
<td>$26.7</td>
<td>$25.0</td>
<td>$24.7</td>
<td>$24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>151.0</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>143.6</td>
<td>136.6</td>
<td>134.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenses</td>
<td>152.9</td>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>143.9</td>
<td>136.6</td>
<td>134.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Over / (Under) Expenses</td>
<td>(1.9)</td>
<td>(1.7)</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est. Unenc. Ending Cash Balance</td>
<td>$26.7</td>
<td>$25.0</td>
<td>$24.7</td>
<td>$24.7</td>
<td>$24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Balance as a Percentage of Budget</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## General Fund Budgets by Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department Title</th>
<th>2012 Adopted</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012-2013 $</th>
<th>2012-2013 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditor</td>
<td>$2,851,756</td>
<td>$2,857,813</td>
<td>$6,057</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC Departments</td>
<td>$11,968,992</td>
<td>12,095,501</td>
<td>126,509</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Elections</td>
<td>3,027,416</td>
<td>3,284,459</td>
<td>257,043</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk of Courts</td>
<td>3,824,696</td>
<td>3,880,754</td>
<td>56,058</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Pleas Court - General</td>
<td>10,999,947</td>
<td>11,010,133</td>
<td>10,186</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coroner</td>
<td>2,730,792</td>
<td>2,763,147</td>
<td>32,355</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Municipal Court</td>
<td>773,287</td>
<td>822,103</td>
<td>48,816</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court of Appeals</td>
<td>135,280</td>
<td>135,608</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processing Board</td>
<td>3,021,156</td>
<td>3,345,302</td>
<td>324,146</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Relations Court</td>
<td>3,117,507</td>
<td>3,266,111</td>
<td>148,604</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>342,633</td>
<td>340,569</td>
<td>(2,064)</td>
<td>(0.6)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile Court</td>
<td>17,370,303</td>
<td>17,202,601</td>
<td>(167,702)</td>
<td>(1.0)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## General Fund Budgets by Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department Title</th>
<th>2012 Adopted</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012-2013 $</th>
<th>2012-2013 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Courts</td>
<td>$1,290,689</td>
<td>$1,290,689</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Departmental</td>
<td>20,914,297</td>
<td>24,449,760</td>
<td>3,535,463</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probate Court</td>
<td>1,546,014</td>
<td>1,599,294</td>
<td>53,280</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecutor</td>
<td>9,230,750</td>
<td>9,280,168</td>
<td>49,418</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Defender</td>
<td>3,997,511</td>
<td>4,152,863</td>
<td>155,352</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorder</td>
<td>968,311</td>
<td>946,237</td>
<td>(22,074)</td>
<td>(2.3)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records Center &amp; Archives</td>
<td>684,201</td>
<td>727,401</td>
<td>43,200</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff</td>
<td>26,831,551</td>
<td>27,639,549</td>
<td>807,998</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>1,386,113</td>
<td>1,359,170</td>
<td>(26,943)</td>
<td>(1.9)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran Services Commission</td>
<td>2,308,652</td>
<td>2,009,749</td>
<td>(289,903)</td>
<td>(13.0)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total General Fund</strong></td>
<td><strong>$129,321,854</strong></td>
<td><strong>$134,458,981</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,137,127</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2013 Positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>2012 Adopted</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADAMHS Board</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>(1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditor</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of County Commissioners</td>
<td>1,665.5</td>
<td>1,672.5</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Elections</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk of Courts</td>
<td>92.10</td>
<td>94.10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Pleas Court - General</td>
<td>175.50</td>
<td>174.50</td>
<td>(1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coroner</td>
<td>40.50</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Municipal Court</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>(1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court of Appeals</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processing Board</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Relations Court</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>108.00</td>
<td>110.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile Court</td>
<td>426.50</td>
<td>413.50</td>
<td>(13.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Library Resources</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami Valley Regional Crime Lab</td>
<td>30.50</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MonDay Community Corrections</td>
<td>98.00</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>(1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Board of DDS</td>
<td>732.00</td>
<td>586.00</td>
<td>(146.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probate Court</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecutor</td>
<td>134.00</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Defender</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorder</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records Center &amp; Archives</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff</td>
<td>427.00</td>
<td>431.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil &amp; Water Conservation</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran Services Commission</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,363.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,219.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>(144.00)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2013 Budget Highlights by Program

Social Services
Judicial & Law Enforcement
Environment & Public Works
General Government
Debt Service
Community/Economic Development
Social Services

• 2013 Budget Highlights
  • $392.5 million or 48.5% of the total budget.
  • $5.3 million or 3.9% of the General Fund budget.
Social Services by Department

$392.5 Million

- FCFC, $142.5, 36.3%
- Stillwater, $16.3, 4.2%
- ADAMHS, $39.0, 9.9%
- DDS, $54.4, 13.9%
- Veteran Services, $2.0, 0.5%
- Non-Dept., $3.3, 0.8%
- Job & Family*, $134.6, 34.3%
- Administrative Services, $0.4, 0.1%

* The JFS - CSEA division is included under Judicial and Law Enforcement.
Social Services-Human Services Levy

• Budget Highlights
  • Local funding for Social Services provided by two Combined Human Services levies:
    • Levy A was approved by voters in November 2007 and is due to expire in 2015.
    • Levy B was replaced in November 2010 and expires in 2018.

  Levy A Revenues: $ 67.9 million
  Levy B Revenues: 56.8 million
  Total Levy Funds: $ 124.7 million
Social Services-Human Services Levy

Impact of State Cuts and Revaluation

- Deregulation Loss
- Property Devaluation
- TPP Loss
- Levy Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Levy Revenue</th>
<th>Deregulation Loss</th>
<th>Property Devaluation</th>
<th>TPP Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>137.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>126.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>126.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>126.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>124.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>124.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>123.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>123.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>123.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Social Services-ADAMHS Board

• Budget Highlights
  • $39.0 million, a $34.7 million or 47.1% decrease from 2012 due to converting to a calendar year budget.
  • Medicaid match transitioning to state over 2012-2013.
  • Development and implementation of new model to purchase and pay for services.
  • Implementation of AoD Task Force recommendations.
  • Transitioning to the integration of physical and behavioral health services.
Social Services-Board of DDS

- **Budget Highlights**
  - The DDS budget is $54.4 million, 5.7% or $3.3 million decrease from 2012.
  - The 2013 DDS budget reflects the 10% or $3.2 million reduction in the Human Services Levy funds, as well as the reduction in State funding.
  - The 2011-2012 school year was the final year the Board of DDS provided Preschool and School Age services.
  - Savings has allowed the ability to offer additional waivers and replace one-time levy money with continuing dollars.
  - Budgeted positions reduced by 146.
• **Budget Highlights**
  
  • Continued emphasis on transitioning individuals off waiting lists to I/O and Level One waiver programs
    
    • I/O Waivers - 828
    
    • Level 1 Waivers - 265
    
    • Waiting List – 1,055
  
  • Over 3,000 served annually
  
  • Continued demand for early intervention services
  
  • Increased demand to grow adult day service programs to meet the growing number of graduates/adult referrals
  
  • Over 165 individuals have participated in the “CHOICES” program since its inception.
### Aging Caregiver on Waiting List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>On Residential Waiting List or Long Term Planning Registry</th>
<th>June 2004</th>
<th>June 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total on Lists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>773</td>
<td>1,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caregivers in 90s</td>
<td>0 ( +7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caregivers in 80s</td>
<td>15 (+20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caregivers in 70s</td>
<td>41 (+19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caregivers in 60s</td>
<td>64 (+70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Over 60</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Waiting List increased from July, 2004 to June, 2012 by 282 people despite providing 609 additional waivers during that period.
Social Services-Job & Family Services

- **Budget Highlights**
  - $134.6 million budget for 2013, which is a $5.9 million or 4.6% increase from 2012.
  - Includes the Public Assistance, Children Services Board and Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Programs and Child Support Enforcement.
  - CSD State Allocations for SFY2013 decreased.
  - JFS service levels still increasing for Food Stamps, Childcare and Medicaid.
  - Continued efforts in effectively managing placements of children.
  - Focus continues on workforce development.
Social Services-Job & Family Services

TANF Allocation (Millions) 2008 - 2013

- 2008: $18.1
- 2009: $17.2
- 2010: $10.0
- 2011: $10.4
- 2012 Est.: $7.8
- 2013 Est.: $8.4
Ohio Works First Caseload

- 2008: 5,256
- 2009: 5,659
- 2010: 6,402
- 2011: 5,838
- 2012 Est.: 4,017
- 2013 Est.: 3,700
Social Services-Job & Family Services

Monthly Average Number of Food Stamp Recipients

Monthly Average of Food Stamp Cost per Recipient
Monthly Average Number of Medicaid Recipients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012 Est.</th>
<th>2013 Est.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>87,912</td>
<td>94,819</td>
<td>101,374</td>
<td>106,206</td>
<td>113,193</td>
<td>117,721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Social Services-Job & Family Services
Social Services-Job & Family Services

Number of Families Receiving Daycare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012 Est.</th>
<th>2013 Est.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,056</td>
<td>5,571</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>5,651</td>
<td>6,026</td>
<td>6,207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Social Services-Job & Family Services

**Placement Costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$15.8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$18.0 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$16.6 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$15.4 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$15.4 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 Est.</td>
<td>$16.1 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Number of Children in Placement**

- **Agency Foster Homes**
- **Contract Foster Homes**
- **Group Homes**
- **Institutions**

Note: 2013 Projected Increase of Juvenile Court youth placed in Residential Placements.
• **Budget Highlights – Workforce Development**
  - Individual Training Accounts were authorized for 504 adults and 138 dislocated workers.
  - Using Temporary Assistance for Needy Families funding, 1,089 youth were involved with our Youth Works summer employment program.
  - On-the-Job Training contracts were approved for 53 adults and 26 dislocated workers for 21 local businesses.
  - Over 7,500 previously unseen clients served at the Job Bank in 2012, with a total of 29,850 customer visits.
  - Number of Rapid Response events rose from 22 to 26 in 2012 with an estimated 1,034 displaced employees.
Social Services-Stillwater Center

- **Budget Highlights**
  - The Stillwater Center appropriation totals $16.3 million, 4.0% or $0.6 million increase from the 2012 appropriation.
  - Challenges continue in maintaining Medicaid funding from the State of Ohio.
  - Continued management of overtime and agency pool costs and absorb Human Services Levy reductions.
  - Continued provision of active treatment services with the Board of Developmental Disabilities Services.
  - Provides excellent residential services to 98 people.
Social Services-Veteran Services

• Budget Highlights
  • $2.0 million budget for 2013, which is a $0.3 million or 12.9% decrease from 2012.
  • Veteran Services Commission is entitled up to ½ mill of property taxes in the county. This equates to $4.5 million based on 2012 values.
  • Soldiers’ Relief Allowance request from the Veterans Services Commission reflects savings of $0.3 million.
  • Veteran Services Commission is focused on managing costs of Soldiers’ Relief Allowance and assisting veterans access to VA benefits through outreach programs.
Judicial & Law Enforcement

• 2013 Budget Highlights
  • $164.0 million or 20.3% of the total budget.
  • $96.3 million or 71.6% of the General Fund budget.
Judicial & Law Enforcement by Department

- Sheriff: $32.1 million (19.6%)
- Jail/Housing: $16.0 million (9.7%)
- JFS-Child Support: $15.6 million (9.5%)
- Juvenile Court: $26.6 million (16.2%)
- Non-Departmental: $9.6 million (5.8%)
- Clerk of Court: $6.5 million (4.0%)
- Probate Court: $1.9 million (1.2%)
- Coroner/Crime Lab: $7.9 million (4.8%)
- Other Dept.: $11.5 million (7.0%)
- Public Defender: $4.2 million (2.6%)
- Prosecutor: $10.0 million (6.1%)
- Common Pleas: $13.4 million (8.2%)
- Dom. Relations: $3.3 million (2.0%)
- MonDay: $5.4 million (3.3%)

Total: $164.0 Million
Budget Highlights - Prisoner Housing

- Prisoner housing costs are $16.0 million, 9.7% or $0.8 million above 2012.
- Average daily population from 2011 - 2012 is down about 23 prisoners per day, second lowest year since 1997.
- Active strategies for population management include:
  - Use of electronic home detention by Municipal Courts
  - Efforts by Common Pleas Court Probation
  - Use of management information provided by JusticeWeb
* In 2006, the Jail population was 975 and the STOP program totaled 42. The 2006 year reflects the largest population during the last decade.
Key highlights:

- **Budget Highlights - 800 MHz System**
  - 2013 budget $1.1 million, and includes General Fund subsidy of $0.3 million.
  - 800 MHz has nearly 3,700 users in approximately 36 jurisdictions and agencies.
  - Agency and government communication during emergencies is ensured.
  - Discussion beginning on digital radio system.
Judicial & Law Enforcement-Sheriff

- **Budget Highlights - Regional Dispatch Center**
  - The budget is $7.9 million, 3.3% or $0.3 million increase from 2012.
  - Regional Dispatch budget has achieved financial stability.
  - The 911 access fee on cell phones was made permanent by the state legislature.
  - Monthly surcharge on all cell phones was reduced from $0.28 to $0.25.
  - Prepaid phones will pay 0.5% based on cost of service.
Judicial & Law Enforcement
JFS–Child Support

• Budget Highlights
  • Job & Family Services Child Support Budget is $15.6 million, $1.0 million or 6.9% above the 2012 Adopted Budget.
  • $100.0 million collected for 2012 support payments.
  • Over 53,000 children served.
  • Total General Fund share of costs for the Child Support Enforcement Agency is $2.5 million or 16.1% of the total budget due to state budget reductions.
Environment & Public Works

- 2013 Budget Highlights
  - $121.5 million or 15.0% of the total budget.
  - $0.7 million or 0.5% of the General Fund budget.
$121.5 Million
• **Budget Highlights**
  - $16.4 million, up $0.4 million or 2.7% from the 2012 Adopted Budget.
  - Road and Bridge improvement projects:
    - Austin Boulevard - $3.6 million roadway widening and enhancement, Washington Church Road to Yankee Street, Washington Township.
    - Providence Road - $0.25 million bridge rehabilitation, Perry Township.
    - Dodson Road - $0.35 million bridge replacement, Clay Township.
    - Right of Way Acquisition – Ridge Avenue bridge replacement; Clyo-Spring Valley intersection improvement; North Dixie Drive Phase 5A roadway widening, Bartley Road to Van Atta Park.
Budget Highlights - Water

- $35.3 million, a 9.4% or $3.0 million increase from the 2012 Adopted Budget.
- Water rates have not increased since 2009.
- No customer rate increases planned for 2013.
- Total cost of water purchased from the City of Dayton anticipated to increase 3.3%.
- Interfund Transfers decreased $1.9 million, or 57.7%, due to fewer water main replacement projects.
Environment & Public Works

Water Services

• **Budget Highlights - Sewer**
  • $44.3 million, a 7.5% or $3.1 million increase from the 2012 Adopted Budget.
  • Sewer rates have not increased since 2007.
  • No customer rate increases planned for 2013.
  • Public Utility Services increased $2.4 million, or 15.7%, primarily due to a cost increase for purchased sewer services from the City of Dayton.
Environment & Public Works
Water Services

• Future Capital Projects
  • Automated meter reading program in southern system
    • System-wide automated meter reading is 77% complete with and estimated three years remaining.
  • Nottingham/Banning/Catalpa water main replacement
  • Miami Shores sewer lining
  • Eastern Regional road and parking asphalt repaving
  • Aeration improvements at Western Regional
  • Phillipsburg sewer improvement project
Environment & Public Works - Solid Waste

- **Budget Highlights**
  - $24.7 million, a 3.1% increase or $0.7 million more than the 2012 Adopted Budget.
  - Solid Waste fund includes budgets for the Solid Waste District Planning Fee and the Development Fee funds and Grants.
  - No planned rate increases for household waste.
  - Trash receipts are projected to remain constant.
Annual Tonnage

- Transloading
- Regular Waste
General Government

• 2013 Budget Highlights
  • $103.1 million or 12.8% of the total budget, $24.6 million or 18.3% of the General Fund budget.
  • Funding reinstated for capital renovations and building maintenance projects.
  • Continued funding of previously approved construction projects and renovations.
  • Dayton Regional Green 3 Program is included in the 2013 budget.
General Government by Department

*Central Services, Facilities Management & Insurance are under the Administrative Services Department.

$103.1 Million
General Government-Health Care Costs

**Budget Highlights**
- Redesign of Health Plan with addition of consumer driven health plan and a health savings account has a positive affect on health care costs.
- Emphasis on wellness/healthy behavior: Annual physical and Well Being Assessment.
- Savings of $16.4 million realized since 2010.

In 2012, health care costs have decreased due to claims savings and fewer employees on the health care plan.

Dollars in millions
Community & Economic Development

• 2013 Budget Highlights
  • $11.6 million or 1.4% of the total budget.
  • $5.1 million or 3.8% of the General Fund budget.
  • Continuing contract with Dayton History for the operation and maintenance of Memorial Hall and the Old Courthouse.
  • ED/GE and Arts funding reinstated with the advent of Casino revenue.
  • Annual funding continues for Economic Initiative programs.
Community & Economic Development by Department

- Administrative Services: $0.8, 6.9%
- Community & Economic Development: $5.5, 47.4%
- OMB: $2.3, 19.8%
- Hotel/Motel Tax: $3.0, 25.9%

Total: $11.6 Million
Community & Economic Development

• **Budget Highlights**
  • Partnerships with other jurisdictions and business community on economic development opportunities:
    • ED/GE Program
    • Transportation Improvement District (TID)
    • Port Authority
    • Business First Business Retention Program
    • Business recruitment with the Dayton Development Coalition
    • Downtown Dayton Partnership
Debt Service

- **2013 Budget Highlights**
  - $16.2 million or 2.0% of the total budget is for debt payments in 2013.
  - $2.5 million or 1.9% of the General Fund budget.
  - The county bond rating from Moody’s Investment Service is Aa1 and Standard and Poor’s rating is AA.
Summary

• **Ongoing Challenges for the County Budget**
  • Maintaining vital county services with reduced funding levels.
  • Volatility of investment income.
  • Impact of state budget cuts and unfunded mandates.
  • Controlling health care costs.
  • Continued implementation of recommendations of the General Fund Five Year Financial Plan.
  • Ensuring funding is available for investments in economic development.
GFOA Budget Award

- OMB is the recipient of the 29th annual Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) Distinguished Budget Presentation Award for the 2012 Adopted Budget & Plan Document and 2012 Budget in Brief.
- Montgomery County is one of the longest running recipients of this GFOA award nationwide.
- Must meet program criteria as:
  - a communications device
  - a financial plan
  - an operations guide
  - a policy document
Board of County Commissioners